



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/693,362

10/24/2003

Ashish Shah

14917.0552USI1

2600

27488 7590 04/25/2008  
MERCHANT & GOULD (MICROSOFT)  
P.O. BOX 2903  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903

EXAMINER

FEARER, MARK D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2143

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/25/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/693,362	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SHAH, ASHISH	
	<b>Examiner</b> MARK D. FEARER	<b>Art Unit</b> 2143	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARK D. FEARER.

(3) Rene Pereyra, reg 45800.

(2) Kenny Lin.

(4) Jack Bradley, Jeff Gnuschke.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hurley et al., US 6678882 B1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner explained that the Hurley et al. reference was read into the current broad language of claim 1. Applicant's Representative, Applicants, and Examiners discussed the possibly of rolling dependent claims 10 and 11 into independent claim 1 to more clearly define file structures of multiple endpoints, synch instances, community mapping and the use and functions of the community folder.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kenny S Lin/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2152

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required